Case 2:04-cv-00256-SAB ECF No. 2635 filed 06/02/23 PageID.65017 Page 1 of 3U.S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON Jun 02, 2023 SEAN F. McAVOY, CLERK 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 JOSEPH A. PAKOOTAS, an individual 10 and enrolled member of the Confederated No. 2:04-CV-00256-SAB 11 Tribes of the Colville Reservation; and 12 DONALD R. MICHEL, an individual and ORDER DENYING MOTIONS 13 enrolled member of the Confederated FOR RECONSIDERATION 14 Tribes of the Colville Reservation, and 15 THE CONFEDERATED TRIBES OF THE COLVILLE RESERVATION, Plaintiffs, 17 and 18 19 THE STATE OF WASHINGTON, 20 Plaintiff-Intervenor, 21 v. TECK COMINCO METALS, LTD., a Canadian corporation, 23 Defendant. 24 25 Before the Court are Plaintiff State of Washington's Motion for Clarification 26 and Reconsideration, ECF No. 2622, and Defendant Teck Cominco Metals, Ltd.'s Motion for Reconsideration, ECF No. 2628, and associated Motion to Expedite 28 Hearing, ECF No. 2630. The motions were considered without oral argument. ORDER DENYING MOTIONS FOR RECONSIDERATION *1

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION, RECONSIDERATION, AND/OR CERTIFICATION

Plaintiff State of Washington moves the Court to extrapolate its Order Granting Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration, ECF No. 2617, to clarify whether the Court intended to dismiss its Model Toxics Control Act ("MTCA") claims in full. The State also moves the Court to (1) reconsider its dismissal of the State's air pathway theory under MTCA, (2) certify the state law questions to the Washington Supreme Court, and/or (3) enter final judgment on the MTCA claims.

The Court found that the State failed to state a plausible claim under MTCA, and stated, "Plaintiff State of Washington's Seventh Cause of Action under the Model Toxics Control Act is DISMISSED, with prejudice." ECF No. 2617 at 5. The holding is not ambiguous, and clarification is not necessary. The State also did not demonstrate reconsideration of the issue is appropriate or the Court should 14 certify the MTCA claims to the Washington Supreme Court or enter final judgment.

DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

Defendant Teck Cominco Metals, Ltd. moves the Court to reconsider its Order Denying Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment on Ripeness, ECF No. 2624. Teck argues Plaintiffs are required to satisfy two conditions of 42 U.S.C. § 9613(g)(1), prior to bringing their natural resource damages claims.

The Court held Plaintiffs provided proper notice of their natural resource damages claims, and since the pre-suit conditions of § 9613(g)(1) are disjunctive, the Court need not consider the parties' secondary arguments regarding selection of a remedial action. ECF No. 2624 at 6. The Court decided the issue. Teck did not demonstrate that reconsideration is appropriate.

26|| //

3

8

9

13

16

17

21

27

28

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. Plaintiff State of Washington's Motion for Clarification and Reconsideration, ECF No. 2622, is **DENIED**.
- 2. Defendant Teck Cominco Metals, Ltd.'s Motion for Reconsideration, ECF No. 2628, is **DENIED.**
- 3. Defendant's Motion to Expedite Hearing, ECF No. 2630, is **DENIED, as moot**.

IT IS SO ORDERED. The District Court Clerk is hereby directed to enter this Order and to provide copies to counsel.

DATED this 2nd day of May 2023.



Stanley A. Bastian Chief United States District Judge